

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/903,131	Applicant(s) NEE, WRIGHT J.	
	Examiner Harry S. Hong	Art Unit 2614	

All Participants:

(1) Harry S. Hong.

(2) James R. Nock (Reg. No. 42,937).

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:00 PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
potential 35 U.S.C. 101

Claims discussed:
35

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Nock agreed to clarify the language of claim 35 in order to preempt any potential non-statutory issues. Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)